

### **No More Check Boxes:** Why Value-Driven Cybersecurity is the **Smarter Choice**

Many organizations operate with check box-driven systems, while others prioritize value-driven models. Though seemingly similar in their aim—compliance—these two approaches are fundamentally different in how they approach security, sustainability, and alignment with business goals. Today, we will explore these differences in detail and help you understand which might fit your organization better.

Did you know that cybersecurity compliance isn't just a "one-size-fits-all" approach?

WHAT ARE CHECK BOX-DRIVEN AND VALUE-DRIVEN APPROACHES?



often characterized by the need to satisfy regulatory or industry standards. The emphasis is more on compliance for the sake of compliance, with little regard for its alignment with business objectives or how it contributes to long-term security.

In cybersecurity, a check box-driven approach is



cybersecurity measures directly with an organization's overall governance and business goals. Instead of following a pre-defined list of requirements, value-driven compliance evolves based on the organization's needs, focusing on adding real, measurable value to cybersecurity.

### To better understand these approaches, let's compare their features across several dimensions:

COMPARISON: CHECK BOX-DRIVEN VS VALUE-DRIVEN

#### Generic policies created

**Check Box-Driven** 

requirements.

solely to meet compliance

Simple yes/no compliance

checks to satisfy auditors.

One-time generic training,

effectiveness measurement.

Primitive monitoring focused

on compliance metrics.

Annual static risk

assessments.

Audits based on

static checklists.

# **POLICIES**

**TRAINING** 

**COMPLIANCE CHECKS** 



**RISK ASSESSMENTS** 

B

**KPI MONITORING** 





#### Policies that are aligned with governance and business goals.

Substance-based

Value-Driven

self-assessments to gauge

real-world effectiveness

Scenario-based training that responses.

management that evolves with

Real-time, adaptive risk

new threats

Advanced KPI monitoring tied

directly to business objectives.

Risk-based audits that focus on

areas of high business impact.

## WHAT ARE CHECK BOX-DRIVEN AND VALUE-DRIVEN APPROACHES?

#### into the overall business landscape. In contrast, value-driven policies are aligned with the organization's governance goals. Aligning policies with business goals involves conducting workshops with key stakeholders and regularly

1. Policies: Generic vs. Goal-Oriented

updating cybersecurity documents to ensure relevance. Actionable Example: In a value-driven approach, your cybersecurity policies would evolve along with your company's strategy. For instance, if your organization focuses more on remote work, your policies would update to cover emerging

remote work security risks, ensuring a seamless fit with your cybersecurity and organizational operational goals.

In check box-driven systems, policies are often written to tick off compliance boxes without considering how they fit

2. Compliance Checks: Yes/No vs. Substance-Based

A check box-driven approach uses simple yes/no compliance checks, often limiting the organization to surface-level security practices. Value-driven systems, however, employ substance-based self-assessments, focusing on the effectiveness of the cybersecurity controls. This involves using maturity models to rate cybersecurity controls and

#### developing a bi-annual self-assessment schedule to evaluate their effectiveness continually. Actionable Example: Instead of asking, "Did we conduct a vulnerability scan this year?" a value-driven organization

quarter?"

In a checkbox-driven model, awareness and training activities are often one-off, generic sessions-employees complete a standard course, usually once a year, and that's where the focus ends. Value-driven organizations, however, recognize

might ask, "How effective were our security measures in preventing real threats, and what can we improve next

that effective awareness and training go beyond ticking a box. They implement ongoing, scenario-based programs that are directly relevant to their employees' roles and real-world risks. Actionable Example: For end-users, this means engaging in sessions that cover real cyber-attack scenarios that are relatable, such as data breaches involving customers, recent phishing attempts, or a "Cyber-Attack Show" featuring

simulated attacks to highlight everyday vulnerabilities. This approach helps make the content more tangible, ensuring

coordinated action during real events.

breach occurs

mitigating risks.

employees understand how threats can impact them directly.

3. Training: One-Time vs. Scenario-Based

4. Incident Response: Checklists vs. Drills In a checkbox-driven model, incident response often revolves around static checklists that are rarely used until a real incident arises. In contrast, value-driven organizations proactively prepare by conducting regular, realistic cyber drills and attack simulations. These exercises go beyond compliance—they build readiness.

Additionally, attack simulations help test an organization's defenses, detection capabilities, and response effectiveness. These simulations mimic real cyber-attacks, providing a comprehensive evaluation of how well detection mechanisms are working and how prepared the response teams are to mitigate the impact.

Actionable Example: A value-driven organization might simulate a sophisticated data breach, bringing together both IT and executive crisis management teams, followed by a detailed post-mortem analysis. These activities ensure gaps are identified and improved upon, ultimately reducing response times and minimizing the damage when an actual

Cyber drills test the incident response handling capabilities at both technical and management levels. They simulate various breach scenarios, involving not only the technical teams but also crisis management stakeholders, ensuring

### 5. Risk Management: Annual vs. Real-Time In a checkbox-driven model, risk management often relies on annual risk assessments that can quickly become

vulnerabilities as they appear. In addition, continuous monitoring tools like Security Information and Event Management (SIEM) are leveraged to provide real-time insights, but the mindset goes beyond just the tools. It's about an "forward-thinking" approach where risk assessment is a living process, evolving with every new initiative, infrastructure update, or strategic change.

assessment culture that activates whenever there's a significant infrastructure upgrade, a new application deployment,

outdated in the face of emerging threats and changes. In contrast, value-driven organizations prioritize a dynamic, adaptive risk management strategy that is continuously updated. Instead of waiting for an annual cycle, these organizations treat any significant change in the business landscape or IT infrastructure as a trigger for new risk assessments. By doing so, they ensure that their risk management activities stay relevant, addressing new

6. KPI Monitoring: Compliance Metrics vs. Strategic Insights Checkbox-driven organizations often focus on basic compliance metrics, which may not align with the overall success of the business. In contrast, value-driven organizations monitor advanced KPIs that are closely tied to

Actionable Example: A value-driven company may deploy continuous monitoring solutions alongside a risk

or a shift in business strategy. This adaptive approach ensures that risks are identified and mitigated promptly,

#### strategic business objectives. This approach ensures that cybersecurity efforts contribute meaningfully to the organization's long-term goals, such as risk reduction and operational resilience.

reducing the organization's exposure to evolving threats.

Actionable Example: A value-driven company not only tracks the number of audits passed but also measures the tangible impact of security initiatives on business performance. This includes metrics like the reduction of high-risk vulnerabilities, improvements in incident response times, and the effectiveness of security investments in

7. Audits: Checklist-Based vs. Risk-Based In a checkbox-driven model, audits are typically conducted using a static list of compliance requirements, often

#### lacking context to the evolving risk landscape. In contrast, value-driven audits focus on areas of greatest business risk, adapting to emerging threats and vulnerabilities to ensure that the audit process remains relevant and impactful.

Actionable Example: Rather than repeating the same audit each year, a value-driven organization prioritizes audits based on current, high-risk areas, such as third-party vendor risks or recent technological changes that could

critical risk factors. WHY SHIFT FROM CHECK BOX TO VALUE-DRIVEN? A checkbox-driven approach may seem like a straightforward path to achieve compliance, but it often falls short

introduce new vulnerabilities. This approach ensures that audit activities are aligned with the organization's most

## compliance but also provides tangible value to the business by:

**Continuously improving Reducing risks** Building resilience by preparing for real-world

in delivering lasting cybersecurity benefits. On the other hand, a value-driven approach not only ensures



threats through ongoing, adaptive training and incident response drills

In conclusion, while both check box-driven and value-driven approaches aim to achieve cybersecurity compliance, the latter takes a holistic view, prioritizing long-term security, adaptability, and strategic alignment with business goals. Organizations that embrace value-driven strategies can expect a more robust, responsive, and future-proof

cybersecurity posture.

If your organization is still operating under a checkbox-driven model, now might be the time to reconsider. Shifting to a value-driven approach is an investment in not only better security but also smarter, more agile business operations.